



THE NORTH AFRICAN JOURNAL OF
FOOD AND NUTRITION RESEARCH



Making Nutrition a Development Priority in Africa

GUIDELINES FOR AUTHORS

The NAJFNR Editorial Office

<https://najfnr.com/home/guidelines>

Practical Guidelines for Authors 2025
The NAJFNR Editorial Office
Updated August 2025

1. General Information	04
1.1 Language and Grammar	04
1.2 Types of Manuscripts	04
1.3 Authorship Criteria	05
1.4 CRediT Author Statement	08
1.5 Addressing Authorship Disputes	08
1.6 Author Limits	08
1.7 Changes in Authorship Sequence	08
1.8 Retraction Policy	08
1.9 Systematic Manipulation of the Publication Process	09
2. Manuscript Submission	09
2.1 Editorial Process	09
2.2 Permissions	10
2.3 Article Processing Charges (APCs)	10
3. Title Page	10
4. Manuscript	10
5. Text	11
5.1 Text Formatting	11
5.2 Headings	11
5.3 Abbreviations	11
5.4 Introduction and Background	11
5.5 Methods Including Statistics	11
5.6 Results	12
5.7 Discussions	12
5.8 Acknowledgments	12
6. References	12
6.1 Citation	12
6.2 References List	12
6.3 Citation integrity	13
7. Tables	13
8. Figures	13
8.1 Figure Captions	13
8.2 Figure Placement and Size	13
8.3 Permissions	14
9. Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest	14
9.1 Data & Intellectual Property Policy	14
10. Research Involving Human Participants and/or Animals	15
10.1 Statement of Human Rights	15
10.2 Statement on the Welfare of Animals	15

11. Informed Consent	15
12. After Acceptance	15
12.1 Proofreading	12
12.2 Copyright and License Arrangements	16
13. English Language Support	16
14. Ethical Consideration	16
14.1 Protection of Patients' Right to Privacy	16
14.2 Publication Ethics	16
14.3 Duplicate Submission	17
14.4 Citation Manipulation	17
14.5 Data Fabrication and Falsification	17
14.6 Improper Author Contribution or Attribution	17
14.7 Redundant Publications	17
14.8 Sanctions	17
14.9 About Plagiarism	17
Contact Us	18

1 GENERAL INFORMATION

The North African Journal of Food and Nutrition Research (NAJFNR), ISSN: 2588-1582, is a distinguished international peer-reviewed, open-access online journal. The journal is committed to the expeditious publication of contemporary research and scholarly investigations spanning all disciplines of human nutrition and food science. NAJFNR prioritizes the dissemination of novel and rigorous manuscripts that contribute significantly to the progression of the field, encompassing original research articles, comprehensive reviews, concise communications, scholarly correspondence, case reports, hypothesis development, expert perspectives, and critical commentaries. NAJFNR welcomes submissions addressing topics pertaining to human, animal, cellular, and molecular nutrition, as well as food science.

The North African Journal of Food and Nutrition Research provides a forum for the dissemination of specialized knowledge pertinent to researchers and practitioners across diverse domains of research and practice, including:

- a. *Nutritional Science (NS)*: The investigation of food, nutrients, and other dietary constituents, encompassing their ingestion, biochemical metabolism, and influence on health and disease. This discipline also extends to the application of this knowledge in the formulation of policies and programs.
- b. *Medical Nutrition Therapy (MNT)*: An evidence-based medical intervention employing individualized nutrition strategies to manage specific chronic diseases. These tailored strategies are designed to optimize patient health and mitigate healthcare expenditures.
- c. *Public Health Nutrition (PHN)*: The application of nutritional science and physical activity principles to promote optimal health and prevent diet-related diseases within groups, communities, and populations. This encompasses the provision of health services, the development of programmatic interventions, and the advocacy of public health policies.
- d. *Food Science and Biotechnology (FSB)*: The scientific study of the physical, biological, and chemical attributes of food, alongside the mechanisms of food deterioration and the fundamental principles of food processing. This field integrates disciplines such as chemistry, engineering, microbiology, and nutrition to enhance food safety, nutritional quality, and accessibility.

The journal endeavors to augment consumer comprehension of the complex interrelationship between nutrition, physical activity, and lifestyle choices to foster health throughout the human lifespan. Furthermore, it seeks to investigate the refinement of health policies through the integration of enhanced nutritional considerations.

We encourage submissions that present observational and interventional studies, alongside fundamental scientific reports, on topics encompassing food sciences, dietary patterns, metabolism,

nutrients, clinical nutrition, social sciences, and health economics as they pertain to nutrition, eating behaviors and disorders, and nutrition policies. Manuscripts that explore the synergistic effects of physical activity and nutrition education, as well as strategies to alleviate food insecurity, are also highly valued.

Specific topics within the journal's scope include:

1. *Food Chemistry, Engineering, Processing and Packaging*
2. *Human and Clinical Nutrition*
3. *Infant, Child, and Adolescent Nutrition*
4. *Nutrition, Metabolism, and Prevention of NCDs*
5. *Public Health Nutrition Policy & Economics*
6. *Nutritional Immunology and Reproduction*
7. *Food Microbiology, Safety and Toxicology*
8. *Sport and Exercise Nutrition*
9. *Functional and Novel Foods*
10. *Nutrition Education and Dietetics*

1.1 Language and grammar

Manuscripts must be presented in **Standard American English**.

1.2 Types of manuscripts

Original articles

The journal solicits submissions encompassing randomized controlled trials, intervention studies, investigations of screening and diagnostic tests, outcome studies, cost-effectiveness analyses, case-control studies, and surveys exhibiting high response rates.

Abstracts are limited to a maximum of 300 words. Manuscripts must adhere to a word count of 5,000 words, excluding the abstract, references, and tables. Authors are permitted a maximum of 45 references and up to 6 figures or tables. The manuscript should be structured with the following headings: Abstract, Keywords, Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion, References, Tables, and Figure Legends.

Brief / Short Communication

The journal accepts submissions in the form of randomized controlled trials, intervention studies, investigations of screening and diagnostic tests, outcome studies, cost-effectiveness analyses, case-control studies, and surveys demonstrating high response rates

Abstracts are limited to 200 words. Manuscripts must adhere to a word count of 2,500 words and include a maximum of 20 references, with no more than 2 figures or tables.

Review Articles and Meta-Analysis

Review articles and meta-analyses must present systematic and critical evaluations of existing literature and data sources. Abstracts are limited to a maximum of 300 words. Manuscripts must adhere to a word count of 10,000 words, including a

maximum of 150 references and no more than 5 figures or tables. Additional figures may be provided as supplementary files.

Correspondence

The Correspondence section facilitates scholarly discourse by enabling readers to engage with articles published within the preceding six (6) months, offering commentary or addressing contemporary issues of relevance to the research community.

Submissions do not require an abstract and must adhere to a maximum word count of 1,000 words, inclusive of tables, figures, and references. The inclusion of up to 2 tables or figures and a maximum of 7 references is permitted.

Case Reports

Submissions may present novel, noteworthy, or exceptionally rare clinical cases. Priority will be accorded to cases exhibiting significant clinical implications, whereas reports of rare cases lacking broader significance may be excluded.

Submissions must be limited to 1,000 words, exclusive of references and abstract, and may include a maximum of 10 references.

Letter to the Editor

Letters to the Editor must present succinct and definitive observations, excluding preliminary findings that necessitate further validation in subsequent publications.

Submissions are limited to a maximum word count of 400 words and may include up to 4 references.

Viewpoint

The journal welcomes personal perspectives on pertinent topics, with submissions limited to approximately 1,500 words, exclusive of tables, figures, and references. Authors are required to disclose their full names; anonymous submissions will not be considered.

Conference Announcement

Submissions must provide comprehensive information regarding forthcoming conferences, including the event title and contact information for inquiries.

Announcements are limited to a maximum word count of 100 words.

1.3 Authorship Criteria

A. Author Contributors

Authorship is the formal recognition of individuals who have made *substantive intellectual contributions* to a scholarly work and who agree to take *public responsibility* for its content. For further

details, please refer to the discussion document developed by COPE.

To qualify as an author, an individual must meet all four criteria established by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE):

- **Substantial Contribution**
 - Conception, design, acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work; **AND**
- **Critical Intellectual Engagement**
 - Drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content; **AND**
- **Final Approval**
 - Approval of the final version to be published; **AND**
- **Accountability**
 - Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work, including investigation/resolution of any questions about its accuracy or integrity.

To enhance transparency, NAJFNR recommends authors to specify their contributions using the 14 CRediT roles.

The 14 contributor roles as defined by CRediT are:

- a. *Conceptualization*
- b. *Data curation*
- c. *Formal analysis*
- d. *Funding acquisition*
- e. *Investigation*
- f. *Methodology*
- g. *Project administration*
- h. *Resources*
- i. *Software*
- j. *Supervision*
- k. *Validation*
- l. *Visualization*
- m. *Writing – original draft*
- n. *Writing – review & editing*

Role	Description
Conceptualization	Ideas; formulation of research goals/aims.
Data Curation	Management/annotation of research data.
Formal Analysis	Statistical, mathematical, or computational analysis
Funding Acquisition	Securing financial support.
Investigation	Conducting experiments/data collection.
Methodology	Development/design of methods or models.
Project Administration	Oversight/leadership of research activities.
Resources	Provision of materials / equipment / computational tools.
Software	Programming, code development.
Supervision	Mentorship/guidance of the research team.
Validation	Verification of results/replication.
Visualization	Creation of figures/visual representations.
Writing – Original Draft	Preparing the first manuscript draft.
Writing – Review & Editing	Critically revising the manuscript.

This is an example of a CRediT statement to follow:

Author 01: Conceptualization, Methodology, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Software. **Authors 02 and 03:** Investigation, Visualization, Writing - Original Draft, Writing - Review & Editing. **Authors 04 and 05:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Investigation, Methodology. **Author 06:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Project Administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation.

We encourage authors to explore the CRediT website (<https://credit.niso.org/contributor-roles-defined/>) for comprehensive descriptions of each role.

General oversight of a research group does not constitute sufficient grounds for authorship. Each contributor must have actively participated in the work to assume public responsibility for the pertinent aspects of the content.

The order of contributor attribution should reflect the relative contributions of each individual to the study and manuscript preparation.

Subsequent to manuscript submission, the author order cannot be modified without the explicit written consent of all contributors.

Changes in Authorship Prior to Publication: Addition of an Author

In accordance with best editorial practices and [COPE guidelines](#), the journal has established a transparent procedure for handling requests to add an author after manuscript submission but prior to publication.

a. Key Principles:

- The journal requires a clear and justified explanation for the addition of any author. Specifically, the corresponding author must explain **why the individual was omitted from the original author list** and why they now qualify for authorship.
- As per the journal's authorship policy, **all listed authors must meet established authorship criteria**, and no individual who meets these criteria should be omitted.
- Any proposed change in authorship must be **approved by all listed authors**, including the individual being added. Written confirmation of consent must be provided by each author.

b. Procedure:

- The corresponding author must submit a formal request explaining the reason for the proposed authorship change.
- The editorial office will contact all original authors and the proposed new author to:
 - Confirm their agreement with the authorship change.
 - Ensure that the new author meets authorship criteria and has no conflicts of interest.

- The new author must complete and sign the journal's Authorship Declaration and, where applicable, the Title Page file.
- If the addition is approved, the author list and contributor statements will be updated accordingly.
- The editorial team reserves the right to decline changes that are not transparent, justified, or unanimously agreed upon.

This process aims to protect the integrity of authorship attribution and ensure full transparency throughout the publication process.

Removal of Author After Publication

In accordance with recent best editorial practices and the [guidelines](#) established by the COPE, the journal has a formal procedure for handling requests to remove an author after publication.

a. Key Principles:

- Requests for removal of an author must be accompanied by a clear and detailed explanation, including the reason the author was included initially and why they should now be removed.
- The journal will consider such requests only when there is **clear evidence of error, misrepresentation, or misconduct** in the original authorship declaration.

b. Procedure

- A formal written request must be submitted to the editorial office, signed by the corresponding author and clearly stating:
 - The reason for the removal request.
 - Whether the author to be removed agrees with the proposed change.
- The journal will contact **all listed authors, including the one proposed for removal**, to verify and document their agreement or disagreement.
- If all authors agree to the removal and the explanation is deemed valid, the journal may issue a correction notice (erratum or corrigendum) to reflect the change. The original article will not be altered retroactively, but the change will be publicly documented.
- If **consensus cannot be reached** or if there is suspicion of misconduct, the journal may refer the case to the relevant institutional or ethical oversight bodies for further investigation before taking action.
- The journal will **always act in accordance with COPE guidelines**, prioritizing transparency, fairness, and the integrity of the scholarly record.

Addition of Author After Publication

In accordance with recent best editorial practices and the [guidelines](#) established by the COPE, the journal has established a clear policy for the addition of an author **after publication**, recognizing that such changes may significantly impact the integrity of the scholarly record.

a. Key Principles:

- Authorship confers both credit and responsibility. As such, changes to the authorship list **after publication** are considered exceptional and are subject to careful scrutiny.
- Requests to add an author after publication must be supported by a **clear and legitimate justification**, including why the author was omitted from the original submission and how they meet the journal's authorship criteria.
- The journal requires **full transparency, author consensus**, and appropriate documentation before implementing any post-publication authorship changes.

b. Procedure

- A formal, written request must be submitted by the **corresponding author**, explaining:
 - The rationale for adding the author.
 - The contribution of the proposed author.
 - The reason for the omission from the original submission.
- The journal will seek **written confirmation from all existing authors** and the author to be added, stating that:
 - All parties agree to the proposed change.
 - The new author meets the journal's authorship criteria.
 - The addition is free of disputes or allegations of misconduct.
- If consensus is reached and the justification is deemed valid by the editorial board, the journal will issue a **formal correction notice (e.g., erratum or corrigendum)** indicating the addition of the new author and updating the author list accordingly.
- In cases of **disagreement or suspected misconduct**, the journal may initiate further investigation and may refer the case to relevant institutions or ethical bodies. The final decision will prioritize the **integrity of the scientific record** and follow COPE's recommendations.

The journal will **always act in accordance with** [COPE guidelines](#), prioritizing transparency, fairness, and the integrity of the scholarly record.

Responsibilities of All Authors.

Every listed author must:

- **Meet All 4 ICMJE Authorship Criteria**
 - Substantial contribution to conception, design, data acquisition, analysis, or interpretation.
 - Drafting or critically revising the manuscript for intellectual content.
 - Final approval of the version to be published.
 - Accountability for the work's accuracy and integrity (including investigation/resolution of any issues).
- **Public Accountability**
 - Be prepared to defend the paper's content, especially their specific contributions.
 - Identify which co-authors are responsible for other parts of the work (e.g., methods, statistics).
- **Conflict Disclosure**
 - Disclose financial, institutional, or personal relationships that could bias the work (via ICMJE COI forms).
- **Ethical Compliance**
 - Ensure the study adheres to ethical guidelines (e.g., human/animal research approvals, consent).
 - Provide data integrity: No fabrication, falsification, or plagiarism.
- **Collaboration with Corrections**
 - Cooperate with post-publication corrections/retractions if errors or misconduct are identified.

Additional Responsibilities of the Corresponding Author

The corresponding author acts as the primary liaison and must:

- **Submission & Communication**
 - Ensure all journal administrative requirements are met (e.g., authorship forms, ethics approvals, clinical trial registrations).
 - Respond promptly to editorial queries during peer review and post-publication.
- **Co-Author Coordination**
 - Confirm all co-authors meet ICMJE criteria and approve the final manuscript.
 - Share manuscript drafts, reviewer comments, and editorial decisions with all authors.
 - Obtain signed consent from all authors for any post-submission authorship changes.
- **Post-Publication Duties**
 - Address critiques or questions about the paper after publication.
 - Provide data, code, or additional details if requested by the journal or readers.
- **Transparency**
 - Ensure copies of all correspondence with the journal are sent to all co-authors (ICMJE recommends editors cc all authors).

B. Non-Author Contributors

Individuals who contribute to a work but do not meet all of the criteria for authorship should be **acknowledged**, not listed as authors. Contributions that typically fall into this category include:

- Securing funding or providing general administrative support.
- Offering writing assistance, technical editing, or proofreading.
- Giving general supervision of a research group.

When acknowledging these individuals, the contribution should be **specific** (e.g., "collected data," "provided writing assistance"). Additionally, the corresponding author is advised to get **written permission** from anyone being acknowledged. Finally, the use of AI for writing assistance should also be noted in the acknowledgments section.

- **Acceptable Uses:** The use of AI tools is permissible for improving the language and readability of the manuscript. This includes, but is not limited to, grammar and spell checking, punctuation correction, and minor rephrasing to enhance clarity. These are considered editing tools that assist in refining the author's original work.
- **Unacceptable Uses:** AI tools must not be used to generate substantive content, including text for the introduction, methods, results, or discussion sections. AI-assisted generation of data, creation of interpretations, or formulation of conclusions is strictly prohibited. The intellectual contribution and original thought must come entirely from the authors.

1.4 CRediT Author Statement

The Contributor Roles Taxonomy (CRediT) is a standardized framework that delineates fourteen (14) distinct roles a researcher may undertake in a research project, encompassing conceptualization and formal analysis to data curation and software development. Aligning individual contributions with the appropriate CRediT roles confers several key advantages upon authors:

- Enhancing recognition and visibility for team contributions that are integral to research output dissemination.
- Promoting open communication and transparency, thereby fostering consensus and mitigating the potential for disputes.
- Enabling evaluators to accurately assess researcher impact and expertise within project contexts.
- Facilitating the comprehensive tracking of an individual's diverse contributions across multiple research endeavors.

The submitting author is responsible for ensuring that CRediT roles accurately represent the contributions of all participating individuals. All co-authors are expected to review and endorse their assigned CRediT roles as presented by the submitting author.

1.5 Addressing Authorship Disputes

In cases where researchers disagree with the author list, believe they have been wrongfully excluded from a manuscript, or require clarification regarding authorship and contributorship, they are encouraged to **consult their respective institutions for assistance in resolving such matters**.

Should issues related to authorship or contributorship be brought to the journal's attention, the Editor-in-Chief will **advise the concerned parties to refer the dispute to their institutions for investigation and resolution**. The journal will not act as an arbitrator in authorship disputes. We will, however, follow the recommendations of COPE (Committee on Publication Ethics) for managing manuscripts where authorship disputes are reported, which may include pausing the review/publication process or issuing an Expression of Concern until the matter is resolved by the relevant institutions.

1.6 Author Limits

Original Articles

While there is no explicit limitation on the number of co-authors per manuscript, it is imperative that all contributors have made substantive contributions and are eligible for co-authorship.

Review Articles

The number of contributors should be limited to six (6). If this limit is exceeded, a detailed justification is required. Review articles should be authored by individuals with demonstrated expertise in the specific field. A concise summary of each contributor's work in the review field must accompany the manuscript.

1.7 Changes in Authorship Sequence

Authors are required to establish the authorship sequence collaboratively and resolve any discrepancies prior to manuscript submission. Any modifications to authorship, including alterations in order, additions, or deletions, must be mutually agreed upon by all authors. Requests for such modifications subsequent to initial manuscript submission and prior to publication must be submitted in writing to the editor, either via formal letter or electronic mail, and must be accompanied by the original signed consent of all authors.

1.8 Retraction Policy

NAJFNR will consider retracting a publication under the following circumstances:

- Editors possess conclusive evidence demonstrating that the findings are unreliable, whether due to scientific misconduct (e.g., data fabrication) or unintentional error (e.g., miscalculation or experimental error).
- The findings have been previously published elsewhere without appropriate cross-referencing, authorization, or justification (i.e., instances of redundant publication).

- The published work contains instances of plagiarism.
- The study reports research practices that violate ethical standards.

The North African Journal of Food and Nutrition Research (NAJFNR) adheres to the Retraction Guidelines established by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), which are accessible at: (<https://publicationethics.org/files/cope-retraction-guidelines-v2.pdf>).

1.9 Systematic Manipulation of the Publication Process

At *The North African Journal of Food and Nutrition Research (NAJFNR)*, we uphold the highest standards of academic integrity and transparency. In accordance with the **Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE)** and based on their flowcharts and infographics on *Systematic Manipulation of the Publication Process* (COPE 2021), we recognize the importance of detecting and addressing any form of publication manipulation that may undermine the credibility of the scholarly record.

Systematic manipulation refers to repeated dishonest or fraudulent practices used by individuals or groups to inappropriately influence the publication process. This includes, but is not limited to:

- Manipulating or circumventing independent peer review
- Misattributing or selling authorship
- Submitting fabricated or plagiarized research
- Substituting manuscripts post-acceptance

Such practices are often aimed at unjustly influencing publication records or achieving financial or academic gain and may involve multiple submissions, journals, or actors.

Our editorial team is sufficiently trained to identify suspicious patterns that may indicate manipulation, including:

- Unusual submission activity (e.g., multiple similar manuscripts, identical author groups, or shared email accounts)
- Suspicious peer review behavior (e.g., overly rapid reviews, unverified or non-institutional reviewer email addresses)
- Repeated recommendations of the same reviewers across unrelated submissions
- Data irregularities or textual similarities suggesting duplication or fabrication
- Authorship inconsistencies (e.g., guest, ghost, or purchased authorship)

Upon detection or suspicion of manipulation, the following actions are taken:

- **Internal Review:** The editorial team investigates the submission history, peer review process, and content.
- **Author Communication:** Authors are contacted to clarify inconsistencies and provide relevant documentation.

- **External Consultation:** When appropriate, the case may be referred to institutional offices or ethical bodies.
- **Corrective Measures:** Depending on the outcome, the journal may take one or more of the following actions:
 - Reject the manuscript
 - Retract the published article with an explanatory notice
 - Issue an editorial expression of concern
 - Ban the author(s) from future submissions
 - Inform affiliated institutions or funding bodies

We are committed to maintaining the integrity of the scientific record and welcome collaboration with other publishers and organizations to prevent the spread of unethical practices.

For more detailed information, please refer to the **COPE infographic: Systematic Manipulation of the Publication Process**.

2 MANUSCRIPT SUBMISSION

2.1 Editorial Process

A manuscript will be considered for potential publication with the explicit understanding that it is being submitted exclusively to the North African Journal of Food and Nutrition Research (NAJFNR) and has not been previously published, simultaneously submitted, or accepted for publication elsewhere. The journal requires authors to designate a single individual as the primary correspondent for all communications pertaining to the manuscript.

Upon submission, editors conduct an initial assessment of all manuscripts to determine their suitability for formal review. Manuscripts lacking originality, exhibiting significant scientific or technical deficiencies, or failing to convey a substantial message are rejected prior to formal peer review. Manuscripts deemed unlikely to be of interest to NAJFNR readership are also subject to rejection at this preliminary stage.

Manuscripts deemed suitable for publication in NAJFNR are forwarded to two or more expert reviewers. The journal employs a double-blind peer review process, wherein the identities of both reviewers and authors remain anonymous to one another. Furthermore, each manuscript is assigned to a member of the editorial team who, based on the reviewers' evaluations, renders a final decision regarding the manuscript. The reviewers' comments and recommendations (acceptance, rejection, or revisions to the manuscript) are communicated to the corresponding author, who is expected to provide a detailed, point-by-point response to the reviewers' comments and submit a revised version of the manuscript. This iterative process continues until the reviewers and editors reach a consensus regarding the manuscript's suitability for publication.

Accepted manuscripts undergo copy-editing for grammatical accuracy, punctuation, adherence to print style, and formatting

consistency. Proofs are dispatched to the corresponding author, who is required to return corrections within forty-eight (48) hours. Corrections received beyond this timeframe may not be incorporated. The entire process, from manuscript submission to final decision, including the transmission and receipt of proofs, is conducted electronically. To facilitate the rapid and widespread dissemination of knowledge and information, the journal publishes articles online promptly following acceptance.

All manuscripts must be submitted electronically through the NAJFNR website: <https://najfnr.com/home/about/submissions>. First-time users are required to register on the site, which is a complimentary but mandatory procedure. Registered authors can monitor the status of their articles by logging in with their assigned username and password. Authors are not required to pay any submission fees. Should any issues arise, please contact the editorial office via electronic mail: contact@najfnr.com

Manuscripts that do not conform to the "Instructions for Authors" will be returned for technical corrections prior to editorial/peer review. Generally, manuscripts should be submitted as discrete files (the website has an 80 MB upload limit per file).

Submission of a manuscript implies that the described work has not been previously published, is not under concurrent consideration elsewhere, and has been approved by all co-authors (if applicable) and relevant authorities, either explicitly or implicitly, at the institution where the work was conducted. The publisher assumes no legal responsibility for any compensation claims. (Please refer to [Peer Review Process Webpage](#))

Author Rights

The journal follows a rigorous peer review process to ensure the quality and integrity of published work. Authors are invited to suggest potential reviewers and/or request the exclusion of specific individuals from the review process; such information must be clearly stated both on the Title Page file and during the manuscript submission procedure.

2.2 Permissions

Authors intending to incorporate previously published figures, tables, or textual excerpts in their manuscripts must obtain authorization from the original copyright holder(s) and provide documentation of such permission upon manuscript submission. Manuscripts lacking this documentation will be presumed to contain original content produced by the authors.

The comprehensive submission guidelines for manuscripts are accessible at: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hoPCy88Gyvs>

2.3 Article Processing Charges (APCs)

A. Open Access Commitment

The North African Journal of Food and Nutrition Research (NAJFNR) is committed to open access (OA) publishing, ensuring the immediate and unrestricted availability of published research to a global audience. This model allows readers to freely access, download, print, and redistribute articles, removing financial barriers and maximizing the dissemination of scientific knowledge.

B. Financing Open Access

Under the OA framework, publication costs—including manuscript processing, online hosting, long-term archiving, and editorial services—are covered by **Article Processing Charges (APCs)**. These charges are typically funded by authors or their institutions, replacing traditional subscription fees. Notably, NAJFNR does not charge any fees for manuscript submission.

C. Implementation of APCs

To sustain high-quality publishing services, NAJFNR will implement APCs effective **April 20, 2025**. The fees support essential processes such as:

- Peer review management
- Copyediting and typesetting
- Multi-format publication (PDF, HTML, XML)
- DOI assignment and indexing
- Plagiarism detection

For further details regarding Article Processing Charges (APCs), please visit our [webpage](#).

3 TITLE PAGE

The title page must include the following elements:

- The manuscript type (e.g., original article, review article, meta-analysis, correspondence, etc.), the manuscript title, the full names of all authors and contributors, and the name(s) of the department(s) and/or institution(s) with which the work is affiliated. Any information that may reveal the authors' identities should be included on this page. Manuscripts must be submitted in .doc format, and files should not be compressed.

Please visit <https://najfnr.com/home/about/submissions>

The title page template can be downloaded from:

https://najfnr.com/journal_docs/1._Title_page.docx

4 MANUSCRIPT

The main text of the manuscript, encompassing the "Abstract" through the "References" sections, must be included in this file. The file must not contain any references to the authors' names or initials, the institution where the study was conducted, or acknowledgments. Page headers/running titles may include the manuscript title but must not include the authors' names. Manuscripts that do not adhere to the journal's blinding policy

will be returned to the corresponding author for revision. Files must be submitted in .doc or .docx format, uncompressed, and without embedded images. Pages must be numbered consecutively.

Abstract

Abstracts must be structured into sections (e.g., Background, Aims, Materials/Subjects and Methods, Results, Conclusion, and Keywords) and must avoid the use of undefined abbreviations or unspecified references.

Keywords

Authors must provide four (4) to six (6) keywords for indexing purposes.

Keywords must be carefully selected to accurately represent the manuscript's content, be specific to the relevant field or subfield, and not duplicate terminology used in the manuscript title. Shared key terms between the manuscript title and abstract can enhance the discoverability of the study in article searches. For further information, please consult:

<https://www.aje.com/arc/editing-tip-choosing-effective-keywords/>

The use of Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) from the US National Library of Medicine is strongly recommended and can be accessed through the MeSH browser:



<https://meshb.nlm.nih.gov/search>

5 TEXT

5.1 Text Formatting

Manuscripts must be submitted in Microsoft Word format.

- Employ a standard, plain typeface, such as 12-point Times New Roman, for the main text.
- Utilize italics for emphasis where necessary.
- Employ the automatic page numbering function to paginate the document consecutively.
- Refrain from the use of field functions.
- Use tab stops or other appropriate commands for indentation; avoid the use of the space bar.
- Utilize the table function for the creation of tables, rather than spreadsheets.
- Use the equation editor or MathType for the insertion of equations.
- Save the file in .docx format (compatible with MS Word 2007 or later).

5.2 Headings

Employ no more than three hierarchical levels of displayed headings

5.3 Abbreviations

Abbreviations must be defined upon their initial occurrence and used consistently thereafter.

5.4 Introduction and Background

The introduction must succinctly contextualize the study within a broader framework and underscore its significance. It must establish linkages to prior research in the field without providing an exhaustive review of the literature. Given the assumption that the reader possesses a foundational understanding of the subject matter, the introduction must be clear and concise. Citations must be included only as necessary to elucidate the rationale underlying the research question. Conclude the introduction by articulating a clear aim or research question, ideally formulated as a testable hypothesis. The introduction must remain accessible to researchers outside the immediate domain of the study.

5.5 Methods Including Statistics

The Methods section must focus exclusively on information available at the time of study design or protocol development, reserving all data acquired during study execution for the Results section. When describing the selection and characterization of participants (including patients, laboratory animals, and controls), authors must clearly specify eligibility and exclusion criteria and provide a comprehensive account of the source population. Given the potential relevance of variables such as age and sex, their inclusion must be justified, particularly if the study features specific age groups or gender categories.

Clarity in the study's rationale and methodology is paramount, particularly when incorporating variables such as race or ethnicity, which must be rigorously defined and justified. Technical information must include detailed descriptions of methods, apparatus (with manufacturer information provided parenthetically), and procedures to facilitate reproducibility by other researchers. References to established methods, including statistical methodologies, must be provided, along with concise explanations for methods not widely recognized. Novel or significantly modified methods must be explained with justifications for their application and assessments of their limitations. Precise information on all pharmaceuticals and chemical reagents used, including their generic names, dosages, and routes of administration, must be provided.

For authors submitting review articles, a section delineating the methods for data retrieval, selection, extraction, and synthesis must be included and summarized in the abstract. Reports of human observational studies must adhere to the STROBE guidelines, which aim to improve the quality and transparency of reporting. Studies involving animals must adhere to the ARRIVE guidelines, and for systematic reviews and meta-analyses, adherence to the PRISMA guidelines is strongly recommended.

Regarding the application of statistics, a general description of the methodologies employed must be provided in the Methods section. When presenting data in the Results section, the specific statistical methods applied for analysis must be specified. Avoid the use of statistical terminology in non-technical contexts, such as "random" (which implies the use of a randomization procedure), "normal," "significant," "correlations," and "sample." All statistical terms, abbreviations, and symbols must be defined.

For all p -values, the exact numerical value must be provided, rather than using threshold values such as $p < 0.05$ or $p < 0.001$.

5.6 Results

Present the results in a logical sequence within the text, tables, and figures, commencing with the principal or most salient findings. Avoid redundant presentation of all data from tables or figures within the text; instead, emphasize or summarize only the critical observations. Supplementary materials and technical details may be included in an appendix or published exclusively in the electronic version of the journal to maintain textual coherence. Within the Results section, when summarizing data, provide both absolute numbers and their derivative measures (e.g., percentages), and specify the statistical methodologies employed for analysis.

Restrict tables and figures to those essential for substantiating the paper's argument and evaluating its evidentiary basis. Utilize graphical representations in lieu of tables with extensive entries and avoid data redundancy between graphs and tables. Exercise circumspection when employing statistical terminology such as "random," "normal," "significant," "correlations," and "sample" in non-technical contexts. Where applicable, include analyses of data stratified by variables such as age and sex.

5.7 Discussions

A synopsis of the principal findings must encompass the primary and secondary outcome measures and the results in relation to any a priori hypotheses. It must address the strengths and limitations of the study, including considerations such as the research question, design, data acquisition, analysis, and interpretation. The interpretation and implications of the study must be presented within the context of existing evidence, including references to relevant systematic reviews where available, and articulating the study's contribution to the current body of knowledge. This section must also address the effects on patient care and health policy, as well as potential underlying mechanisms.

Controversies arising from the study must be discussed, alongside prospective research directions, including potential avenues for further investigation in this collaboration or underlying mechanisms and clinical research domains. Contributors must refrain from reiterating data or material previously presented in the Introduction or Results sections and must avoid making unsubstantiated statements regarding

economic benefits and costs unless such data and analyses are included within the manuscript. Additionally, they must avoid asserting priority and referencing incomplete work. If novel hypotheses are proposed, they must be explicitly identified as such.

5.8 Acknowledgments

Acknowledgments for individuals, grants, funding, and other forms of support must be included in the title page file. Funding organizations must be designated by their full, official names.

6 REFERENCES

6.1 Citation

Cite references within the text by author surname(s) and year of publication, enclosed in parentheses. Citation management software, such as EndNote by Clarivate Analytics (<https://www.myendnoteweb.com/EndNoteWeb.html>) or Mendeley (<https://www.mendeley.com/>) may be employed.

Some examples:

- As recommended by the ADA (ADA, 2020).
- These results are consistent with the findings of Amos *et al.* (2024).
- This result was contradicted by Becker and Seligman (2013).
- Several authors have reported the same results (Abbott, 2001; Norri *et al.*, 2003; Kent & Williams, 2006; Medvec *et al.*, 2019)

6.2 References List

The reference list must include only works cited within the text that have been published or accepted for publication. Personal communications and unpublished works should be mentioned exclusively within the text. Entries in the reference list must be arranged alphabetically by the author's surname and year of publication.

Authors may refer to this tutorial on citing PubMed articles in APA style: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6c1_BOQN0g0

Alternatively, authors may utilize APA Citation Generator 7 to generate references of various types at:

<https://quillbot.com/citation-generator/>
<https://app.bibguru.com/>
https://www.citefast.com/?s=APA7#_Journal
<https://www.mybib.com/tools/apa-citation-generator>
<https://www.scribbr.com/apa-citation-generator/>

Examples:

Journal article (with DOI) using APA 7th edition referencing system

Mozaffarian, D., & Ludwig, D. S. (2010). Dietary Guidelines in the 21st century—A time for food. *JAMA*, 304(6), 681. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2010.1116>

Book

Whitney, E., & Rolfes, S. R. (2021). *Understanding Nutrition* (16th ed.). Cengage Learning.

Book chapter

Hu, F. B. (2018). Dietary patterns and cardiovascular disease prevention. In M. L. Bier & J. M. Jones (Eds.), *Nutrition and health: Strategies for disease prevention* (pp. 123–145). Springer.

Referencing with APA 7th Style – Brief Guide ([Link](https://apastyle.apa.org/instructional-aids/reference-guide.pdf))

<https://apastyle.apa.org/instructional-aids/reference-guide.pdf>

6.3 Citation integrity

NAJFNR strongly discourages citations from predatory and dubious journals or publishers, as these may adversely affect the journal's reputation in indexing databases. We are committed to maintaining a rigorous publication process that upholds recognized international standards and ensures the quality and credibility of published articles.

Given the proliferation of fee-charging open access (OA) journals, authors may encounter difficulties in distinguishing between legitimate peer-reviewed journals and those employing deceptive peer review practices. The North African Journal of Food and Nutrition Research has adopted a policy against referencing such "fake" journals. Therefore, references from these sources must be avoided and either replaced or entirely removed. For further guidance on journal eligibility, please refer to the provided links.

<https://beallist.weebly.com/>
<https://predatoryreports.org/the-list>
<https://predatoryjournals.com/journals/>
<https://beallist.net/changelog/>
<https://beallist.net/>
<https://scholarlyoa.com/publishers/>
<https://www.professeur-alexandre-georges.info%2Fdolos-list>
<https://guides.library.yale.edu/c.php?g=296124&p=1973764>

7 TABLES

- The quantity of tables and figures must be commensurate with the manuscript type; please refer to section 1.2, "Manuscript Types," for specific guidelines.
- Number all tables using Arabic numerals.
- Cite each table within the text in sequential numerical order.
- Provide a descriptive caption (title) for each table to elucidate its contents.

- If the table incorporates previously published material, acknowledge the original source with a reference appended to the table caption.
- Denote footnotes to tables with superscript lowercase letters (or asterisks for statistical data and significance values) and place them below the table.

8 FIGURES

Please submit high-resolution color images with a maximum dimension of 1600 × 1200 pixels (approximately 5–6 inches). Images must be provided as JPEG files and maintained at an effective resolution of 300 pixels per inch (PPI). Avoid file compression using ZIP.

- The number of figures must align with the manuscript type; refer to section 1.2, "Manuscript Types," for specific guidance.
- Submit all figures electronically in common formats such as TIFF, JPEG, EPS, or PDF, with a preference for these formats.
- Specify the graphics software utilized to create the artwork.
- Number all figures using Arabic numerals.
- Cite figures within the text in sequential numerical order.
- Denote figure components with lowercase letters (a, b, c, etc.).
- If the manuscript includes an appendix with figures, continue the numbering sequence from the main text (do not employ designations such as "A1, A2, A3"). Figures in online appendices (Supplementary Data) must be numbered separately.
- Figure legends must be concise and specific, and they must appear on a separate page in the manuscript following the "References" section.

8.1 Figure Captions

- Provide a concise caption for each figure that accurately describes the figure's content. Include the captions within the manuscript's text file rather than within the figure file.
- Figure captions must commence with the term "Fig." in bold typeface, followed by the figure number, also in bold typeface.
- Do not include punctuation after the figure number or at the end of the caption.

8.2 Figure Placement and Size

- When preparing figures, size them to conform to the column width. Figures must have widths of 39 mm, 84

mm, 129 mm, or 174 mm, and must not exceed a height of 234 mm.

8.3 Permissions

If figures previously published elsewhere are included, obtain authorization from the copyright holder(s) for both print and online formats. Please note that certain publishers may not grant electronic rights without charge, and NAJFNR cannot reimburse any expenses incurred in obtaining these permissions. In such instances, alternative sources must be considered.

9 DISCLOSURE OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Authors are required to disclose all relationships or interests that may potentially influence or bias their work. This transparency ensures an objective assessment and an accurate representation of the research. Disclosure of potential conflicts of interest, whether actual or perceived, is essential for reader comprehension and does not necessarily imply that a financial relationship with the research sponsor or consultancy is inappropriate. Potential conflicts of interest related to the research may include, but are not limited to:

- Research grants from funding agencies (include the funder and grant number);
- Honoraria for speaking engagements at conferences;
- Financial support for conference attendance;
- Financial support for educational initiatives;
- Employment or consulting engagements;
- Sponsorship support;
- Positions on advisory boards, boards of directors, or other managerial relationships;
- Multiple affiliations;
- Financial relationships such as equity ownership or investment interests;
- Intellectual property rights (e.g., patents, copyrights, royalties);
- Holdings of immediate family members that could present a financial interest in the work.

Additionally, non-financial interests that may be pertinent to readers must be disclosed. These can include personal relationships or competing interests connected directly or indirectly to the research, professional interests, or personal beliefs that could influence the research. The corresponding author is responsible for collecting conflict-of-interest disclosure forms from all authors. Each author must complete a disclosure form.

Please search funders connected to published works with funding data here: <https://search.crossref.org/funding>

9.1 Data & Intellectual Property Policy

A. Data Sharing and Transparency

The NAJFNR policies on data and intellectual property are designed to promote ethical conduct, ensure reproducibility, and protect the rights of authors and the broader scientific community.

Data Sharing and Availability

The NAJFNR requires authors to make their research data, code, and other materials underlying the findings publicly available and accessible whenever possible. We believe that open data is essential for transparency, reproducibility, and the advancement of science.

All submitted manuscripts must include a **Data Availability Statement** in a dedicated section. This statement should inform readers about the accessibility of the data associated with the research. It should specify:

- The location of the data (e.g., a specific public repository).
- A unique identifier for the dataset (e.g., a DOI, accession number).
- Any restrictions on access, if applicable, and the reasons for those restrictions (e.g., privacy, ethical concerns).

Data Repositories: We strongly encourage the use of established public data repositories, such as [GenBank](#) for genetic sequences or [Dryad](#) and [Figshare](#) for general datasets. Authors must ensure that any sensitive data is handled in accordance with all ethical and legal requirements.

Clinical Trial Registration and Reporting Guidelines: For clinical trials, authors are required to register their trials in a publicly accessible registry prior to participant enrollment. Furthermore, the submission of relevant reporting checklists (e.g., CONSORT, PRISMA) is mandated to ensure adherence to standardized reporting guidelines.

We encourage all authors to familiarize themselves with and adhere to the Transparency and Openness Promotion (TOP) Guidelines (<https://www.cos.io/initiatives/top-guidelines>), developed by the Center for Open Science and Equator Network (<https://www.equator-network.org>). These global initiatives are dedicated to improve the reliability and transparency of health research by promoting the use of high-quality reporting guidelines. The Guidelines provide a framework to enhance the reproducibility and integrity of scientific research and offer a tiered system of standards for various aspects of scholarly publishing, including:

- Citation Standards
- Data, Code, and Materials Transparency
- Design and Analysis Transparency
- Preregistration of Studies and Analysis Plans
- Replication

By embracing these Guidelines, authors contribute to a more transparent, reproducible, and trustworthy scientific enterprise. Adherence to these principles not only enhances the quality and impact of individual research but also strengthens the collective scientific endeavor.

B. Intellectual Property and Copyright

Upon acceptance of a manuscript, authors are required to complete and sign a **Copyright Transfer Agreement** or a **Publishing Agreement**. This agreement outlines the terms of publication and copyright (please refer to [subsection 12.2](#)).

10 RESEARCH INVOLVING HUMANS AND/OR ANIMALS

10.1 Statement of Human Rights

When reporting studies involving human participants, authors must include a statement indicating that the studies received approval from an appropriate institutional and/or national research ethics committee and were conducted in accordance with the ethical standards set forth in the 2013 Declaration of Helsinki (7th revision) and its subsequent amendments or comparable ethical standards.

In cases where there is ambiguity regarding adherence to the Helsinki Declaration or comparable standards, authors must provide a methodological justification and evidence that the independent ethics committee or institutional review board explicitly approved any contentious aspects of the study.

Prior to the References section, the following statements must be included in the manuscript:

Ethical approval: "All procedures involving human participants adhered to the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and to the Declaration of Helsinki and its subsequent amendments or comparable ethical standards."

For retrospective studies, please include the following sentence: "For this type of study, formal consent was not required."

10.2 Statement on the Welfare of Animals

The welfare of animals used in research must be upheld. When reporting experiments involving animals, authors must confirm that all procedures adhered to international, national, and/or institutional guidelines for the care and use of animals. The studies must have received formal **ethical approval** from a research ethics committee or institutional review board (IRB) at the institution or practice where the research was conducted. This approval number and the name of the granting body must be included in the manuscript. Furthermore, authors are required to ensure their manuscript complies with the **ARRIVE 2.0 guidelines** for the transparent and complete reporting of *in vivo* animal experiments. For studies involving animals, the

following statement must be included in the text prior to the References section:

- Ethical approval: "All applicable international, national, and/or institutional guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed."

If applicable (if such a committee exists):

- "All procedures involving animals were conducted in accordance with the ethical standards of the institution or practice where the studies were conducted."

If the article does not contain studies involving human participants or animals by any of the authors, please use one of the following statements:

- "This article does not contain any studies with human participants conducted by any of the authors."
- "This article does not contain any studies with animals conducted by any of the authors."
- "This article does not contain any studies involving human participants or animals conducted by any of the authors."

11 INFORMED CONSENT

All individuals possess inalienable personal rights that must be respected and protected. Study participants, for instance, retain the right to determine the utilization of their identifiable personal data, statements made during studies or interviews, and any photographic records. Therefore, the procurement of written informed consent from all participants prior to their inclusion in a study is imperative. Identifiable details, such as names, dates of birth, identification numbers, and other personally identifying information, must not be published in written descriptions, photographs, or genetic profiles unless the data is indispensable for scientific purposes and the participant (or parent or legal guardian, in the case of an incapacitated participant) has provided explicit written consent for publication.

Maintaining absolute anonymity may prove challenging in certain instances, and informed consent must be sought if any ambiguity exists. For example, masking the ocular region in photographs of participants is insufficient for safeguarding anonymity. If any identifying characteristics are altered to preserve anonymity, such as in genetic profiles, authors must ensure these alterations do not compromise the scientific accuracy or integrity of the work.

The following statement must be included: "Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study." If identifying information pertaining to participants is present in the article, the following statement must be included: "Additional informed consent was obtained from all individual

participants for whom identifying information is included in this article."

12 AFTER ACCEPTANCE

12.1 Proofreading

The purpose of the proof is to verify typesetting or conversion errors and to ensure the completeness and accuracy of the text, tables, and figures. Substantive content alterations, such as the introduction of new results, correction of numerical values, modification of the title, and changes in authorship, are not permitted without the Editor's explicit approval. Any post-publication revisions can only be implemented in the form of an Erratum, which will be linked to the original article.

The revised manuscript must be submitted electronically using the same procedure as the initial submission. Contributors submitting a revised manuscript are requested to include the referees' comments along with detailed, point-by-point clarifications at the beginning of the revised file. Additionally, changes within the article must be clearly delineated, either through underlining or the use of colored text.

12.2 Copyright and License Arrangements

The North African Journal of Food and Nutrition Research is licensed under CC BY 4.0, <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Terms and conditions associated with the NAJFNR Creative Commons Publication Agreement

Subject to the acceptance of article for publication in The North African Journal of Food and Nutrition Research, the Rights Holder(s), where applicable, or the Author(s) hereby agrees:

- To grant NAJFNR permission to publish the unpublished and original Article, the abstract forming part thereof, all associated supplemental material, and subsequent, if necessary, errata under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC BY 4.0), <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.
- That further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.
- That any subsequent Reply to a Comment accepted for publication in the NAJFNR, for which this work is the target of the Comment, should also be published under the same Creative Commons license as this work.

By signing this Agreement, the Rights Holder(s), where applicable, or the Author(s) jointly and severally represent and warrant that the Article is original with the author(s) and does not infringe any copyright or violate any other right of any third parties. The signing person(s) also represents and warrants that

they have the full power to enter into this Agreement and to make the grants contained herein.

13 ENGLISH LANGUAGE SUPPORT

To enable editors and reviewers to conduct a comprehensive evaluation of your manuscript, the English language must be of a standard sufficient for unambiguous comprehension. If you require assistance with English writing, consider the following options:

- Seek a review from a colleague who is a native English speaker to ensure clarity.
- Consult an English language tutorial that addresses common errors in English writing.
- Engage a professional language editing service, wherein editors can refine your manuscript's English to enhance clarity and identify areas requiring your attention.
- Utilize the language editing services offered by NAJFNR, accessible at the following link: <https://najfnr.com/home/language-and-editing-services>

Please note that utilizing a language editing service is not a prerequisite for publication in our journal, nor does it guarantee selection for peer review or acceptance. If your manuscript is accepted, our copy editors will review it for orthography and formal style prior to publication.

14 ETHICAL CONSIDERATION

14.1 Protection of Patients' Right to Privacy

Identifying information pertaining to patients must not be disclosed in written descriptions or photographic representations. Authors are required to redact patients' names from figures unless they have obtained explicit written informed consent from the patients. NAJFNR adheres to the guidelines established by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE): (1) Authors, rather than journals or publishers, bear the responsibility of securing patient consent forms prior to the publication of any content related to patient privacy and must maintain these forms in proper archival storage. (2) If the publication includes facial images that could facilitate patient identification, a statement affirming the patient's consent must be included in the manuscript.

14.2 Publication Ethics

Ethical standards for publication are implemented to ensure the production of high-quality scientific work, to uphold public trust in scientific discoveries, and to guarantee appropriate recognition of individuals for their intellectual contributions. NAJFNR is committed to publishing only original content, defined as material that has not been previously published and is not under concurrent consideration elsewhere. Manuscripts determined to contain plagiarized content from the work of other authors, whether previously published or unpublished, will be subject to plagiarism sanctions.

14.3 Duplicate Submission

Manuscripts found to have been previously published or under concurrent consideration elsewhere will be subject to sanctions for duplicate submission or publication. Authors who have utilized their own previously published work or work currently under review as the foundation for a submitted manuscript must cite the earlier work and delineate the novel contributions of the submitted manuscript beyond those of the prior work.

14.4 Citation Manipulation

Manuscripts that contain citations primarily intended to inflate the number of citations to a specific author's work or to articles published in a particular journal will be subject to sanctions for citation manipulation.

14.5 Data Fabrication and Falsification

Manuscripts that include fabricated or falsified experimental results, including the manipulation of digital images, will incur sanctions for data fabrication and falsification.

14.6 Improper Author Contribution or Attribution

All listed authors must have made a substantial scientific contribution to the research presented in the manuscript and must have approved all its assertions. It is imperative to include all individuals who made a significant scientific contribution, including students and laboratory technicians.

14.7 Redundant Publications

Redundant publications refer to the inappropriate partitioning of study outcomes into multiple articles.

14.8 Sanctions

In the event of substantiated violations of any of the aforementioned policies, regardless of whether they occurred in an article published by NAJFNR, the following sanctions will be imposed:

- Immediate rejection of the infringing manuscript.
- A moratorium on submissions from all authors of the infringing manuscript, individually or in collaboration with other authors, for a minimum period of thirty-six (36) months.
- A moratorium on all authors of the infringing manuscript serving on the NAJFNR Editorial Board.

In cases of particularly egregious violations of these policies, the publisher reserves the right to impose additional sanctions beyond those described herein.

14.9 About Plagiarism

Plagiarism encompasses the utilization or close imitation of the language and ideas of another author while presenting them as one's original work. Duplicate publication, often referred to as

self-plagiarism, occurs when an author reuses substantial portions of their own published work without proper attribution. This can include publishing an identical manuscript in multiple journals or supplementing a previous manuscript with minor new data.

Overt instances of plagiarism involve extensive copying and pasting of text, and such manuscripts will not be considered for publication. Subtle plagiarism without malicious intent may occur, such as the reuse of portions of an introduction from a prior manuscript. The editors will assess any case brought to their attention (either through their own knowledge or via referees) on its individual merits.

If plagiarism is discovered after a manuscript is published in NAJFNR, the journal will conduct a preliminary investigation. Should plagiarism be confirmed, the journal will contact the author's institution and funding agencies. A finding of misconduct will prompt NAJFNR to issue a statement, bidirectionally linked online to and from the original manuscript, indicating the plagiarism and referencing the plagiarized material. The manuscript containing the plagiarism will be clearly marked on each page of the PDF. Depending on the severity of the plagiarism, the manuscript may also be formally retracted.

For further information or support, please visit our contact page at: <https://najfnr.com/home/about/contact>

Additional Resources

For more additional information please visit:

- ICMJE: <http://www.icmje.org>
- Equator Network: Enhancing the Quality and Transparency of Health Research: <http://www.equator-network.org/>
- COPE: <http://publicationethics.org/>

CONTACT US

Contact the Editors-in-Chief:

- Prof. Meghit Boumediene Khaled (khaledmb@najfmr.com)
- Prof. Mustapha Diaf (mustapha_diaf@najfmr.com)

Editorial Office Assistants

- Imen Benchikh
- Bouragba Imène
- Mostefa Naimi
- Amina Tires
- Ishac Ould Younes
- Khaoula Medjahed
- Abir Maroc

Email: assistant-editor@najfmr.com

Laboratoire de Nutrition, Pathologie, Agro-Biotechnologie & Santé
(Lab-NuPABS)

Djillali Liabes University, BO. 89, Sidi-Bel-Abbes, ALGERIA

<https://www.najfmr.com>

Editorial Office: contact@najfmr.com

Tel./WhatsApp: (+213) 551 152 261 / (+213) 696 495 465



Access this article online

Quick Response Code:

Website: <https://www.najfmr.com>



SCAN ME



AfAc Publisher
With Knowledge We Publish



LAB-NUPABS
Teamwork is our Strength

